

**DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE PFAS/PFOAS STATUS SUMMARY REPORT**

<b>Site Name</b>	<b>Any Public Concerns Raised Regarding PFOA/PFAS</b>	<b>Detections of PFAS in Drinking Water?</b>	<b>Status of PFOA/PFAS Efforts</b>
Robins AFB <sup>1</sup>	Yes. Public concern was raised in June 2018 where local media mentioned drinking water contamination. Robins issued a statement that assured public interest and health.	NO	Submitted a QAPP (2017) and SAP (2018) and conducted drinking water sampling at Site (2018). No drinking water sources were impacted by presence of PFOAs.
MCRD-Parris Island	NO	NA	Sampling efforts are underway to investigate the PFOA concentrations.
MCLB-Albany	NO	NA	Preparing to sample drinking water wells that are located within areas where PFOAS/PFAS contamination is likely to be present.
Milan Army Ammunition	NO	NO	In 2018, drinking water wells were sampled. However, preliminary assessments will be conducted in March (2019) where specific areas of interest will be investigated for PFOA contamination.
NAS Cecil Field	NO	NO	PFOA detected in on-site groundwater, continuing with plume delineation and monitoring
NAS Jacksonville	NO	NO	PFOA detected in groundwater onsite and offsite near boundary but not detected in private wells. The NAS is continuing with plume delineation and monitoring.
MCAS Cherry Point	YES	YES	The MCOLF Atlantic is not located within the footprint of the NPL site. During November/December 2017, February/March 2018, the Navy collected 258 samples, with two LHA exceedances (70.4 ppt and 74.8 ppt). The family at property 1 is receiving bottled water. Property 2 was determined to be an irrigation well/non-drinking well.

<sup>1</sup> Green entries – Sites where inquiries have been made

**DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE PFAS/PFOAS STATUS SUMMARY REPORT**

Tyndall AFB	NO	NO	PFOAs have been detected in a few areas onsite in the surficial aquifer. No PFCs were identified offsite. The surficial aquifer is classified as potential drinking water by Florida, but it is not being used as drinking water source. Investigating PFOAs through RIs on 3 OUs and through a base-wide SI.
Alabama Army Ammunition Plant	NO	NO	No efforts
NAS Pensacola	NO	NO	Sampling detected PFOAs near the Site with concentrations in the low ppm range. Community outreach is underway to expand sampling efforts of wells within one mile downgradient of the site (Navy proactive approach). Sampling is tentatively scheduled for March.
NAS Whiting Field	NO	YES	The Navy sampled several drinking water wells of nearby offsite residents. One residential well showed PFAS concentrations above the health advisory levels. Since the detection was discovered, the Navy has provided drinking water to the residents. It should be noted that the Navy has not yet sampled for PFAS/PFOAs on the installation; therefore, the source of the residential detection has not been determined. The Navy is preparing work plans for sampling on the installation.
Homestead Air Force Base	NO	NO	The Air Force conducted an initial study to determine which areas at the installation should be sampled for PFAS/PFOAs. The Air Force is now in the process of developing sampling work plans.
Camp Lejeune	YES	NO	The Base is conducting a PA/SI at 55 sites. The PA is due to regulators this spring and will be followed by a field SI investigation prior to the end of the FY. A SI Sampling Analyses Plan will be submitted late spring/early summer.

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE PFAS/PFOAS STATUS SUMMARY REPORT			
			Camp Lejeune does not have PFAS in the base drinking water. There are no known exposures to PFAS-contaminated groundwater at the Base. The EPA RPM for Camp Lejeune has been involved in the PFAS investigations to date.